

























The road ahead requires a holistic approach, combining effective governance, regional collaboration, and adaptive policymaking. As we reflect on the findings presented in this study, we recognize the potential for positive change, and it is our hope that these insights will inform and inspire future endeavors aimed at shaping regulatory environments that propel Southeast Asian nations towards sustained prosperity.

## References.

Cruz, I. (1999). The Impact of Investment Liberalization and the Mining Act of 1995 on Indigenous Peoples, Upland Communities and the Rural Poor, and on the Environment: A Summary Report. Washington D.C.: SAPRIN.

Daniele, V., & Marani, U. (2007). FDI and institutional quality: An empirical analysis for the MENA countries. *Journal of Economic Development*, 32(1), 51-71.

Daude, C., & Stein, E. (2007). The quality of institutions and foreign direct investment. *Economics & Politics*, 19(3), 317-344.

Delios, A. (2001). Political stability and foreign direct investment in Thailand. In *Multinationals and Asia: Organizational and Institutional Relationships* (pp. 141-156). Routledge.

Drabek, Z., & Payne, W. (2001). The impact of religion on foreign direct investment. *Kyklos*, 54(2-3), 403-421.

Dunning, J. H. (1979). Explaining changing patterns of international production: In defense of the eclectic theory. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 41(4), 269-295.

Dunning, J. H. (1980). Toward an eclectic theory of international production: Some empirical tests. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 11(1), 9-31.

Dunning, J. H. (1981). Explaining the international direct investment position of countries: Towards a dynamic or developmental approach. *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv*, 117(1), 30-64.