

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES DISCLOSURE AND INVESTORS' PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CREDIBILITY IN NIGERIAN FINANCIAL MARKET

***Dr ONICHABOR P.O., Department of Accounting and Finance, Mountain Top University, Km 12, Lagos-Ibadan Expressway, Ibafo, Ogun State, Nigeria. **Dr OLAOYE S. A., Department of Accounting, Babcock University, Ilishan Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria. ***Dr AKINTOLA A. F. Department of Accounting, Babcock University, Ilishan Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria.**
onichaborpius@gmail.com, comolaoyes@babcock.edu.ng, ngakintolaa@babcock.edu.ng
Address all correspondence to Dr ONICHABOR P.O.

ABSTRACT

Environmental issues disclosure are prominent in the global debate on the financial market. Studies have shown that investors are agitated about sub-optimality of their decisions due to non-disclosure of some vital environmental issues. Few studies have been conducted on environmental issues disclosure and investors' perception of management credibility. This study investigated the effect of environmental issues disclosure and investors' perception of management credibility in the Nigerian financial market. The study adopted survey research design by administering six hundred copies of structured questionnaire to the staff of eleven selected banks out of the twenty-one Money Deposit Banks in Nigeria as at 31/12/17, using event criterion based on those banks with complete information and continually listed during the period of the study 2008-2017. Regression analysis was adopted in testing the hypothesis formulated. The findings revealed that environmental issues disclosure had significant positive effects on investors' perception of management credibility (PMC) ($Adj. R^2 = .043, F(4, 476) = 6.39, p < 0.05$). The study concluded that disclosure of environmental issues influenced investors' perception of management credibility in the Nigerian financial market. The study therefore, recommended that environmental issues disclosures should be captured in the financial statements to engender investors' confidence in the Nigerian financial market.

Keywords: Environmental issues disclosure, Investment decision, Investors' perception, Management credibility, Money Deposit Banks

Word count: 205

Introduction

The business world has encountered drastic changes in the last two decades due to global financial crisis (GFC), which evidenced the inescapable interconnectivity of the world economy. This escalated to the apprehension of companies for ethical behavior, oversight of risk, accountability and the ability to manage stakeholders strategically. In the process of this monumental change, stock market investors' have become concerned regarding environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues of the companies involved. Such views are gaining prevalence and are regarded as one of the key elements towards the sustainable development of a nation and the world in a broader sense, considering that ESG combines

sustainable return and risk reduction, with accountability towards the environment and society. Coronavirus outbreak has taken the world apprehension to a higher level. It is estimated that China alone has lost over \$300b worth of trade since the outbreak of COVID 19. Manufacturing companies are folding up in China and other industrial giants of the world, resulting in job lose, low productivity and low GDP. Nigeria is a heavy importer of goods from and exporter of crude oil to China. The price of crude oil has dropped to the lowest level from \$87.5dpb to \$30dpb by early March 2020. For a country that solely depend on crude oil for its foreign earnings, the danger is obvious especially when China's demand accounts for 60% of Nigeria crude oil sales. To what extent do firms disclose environmental issues, to guide investors in decision marking? The study wants to investigate whether disclosure of material non-financial issues, influences the investors' perception of management credibility. When investing, ESG issues account for diverse non-financial aspects of a firm's performance, for instance the firm's operational impact on the natural environment (carbon emission, energy, and water use), society (fair trade principles, health and safety, product safety, and philanthropy,) and corporate governance quality (corruption and bribery, broad independence, and stakeholder protection (Przychodzen, Gomez-Bezares, Przychodzen, & Larreina, 2016). The core belief of ESG investing delineates that the investors', Society and environment can benefit from including ESG information in the investment decision. Better financial assessment and decision-making is at the core of ESG evaluation in investment decision-making, thus its emphasis is on sustainable growth as against unstable rapid or artificial growth. The stock market is an imperative part of the economy and has the key intermediary role of moving funds between surplus units and deficit units.

Shareholders are considered very important stakeholders of a company and their role in influencing the companies' practice is paramount. Sustainable development is a global concept (Ortas, Alvarez, & Garayar, 2015), that evolved a few decades ago (Nevado-Pena, Lopez- Ruiz, & Alfaro-Nevarro, 2015) and should be evaluated by all stakeholders (Waas, Hüge, Block, Wright, Benitez-Capistros, & Verbruggen, 2014). The interest of the financial sector in sustainable development is increasing rapidly, as the victims of unsustainability include both people and the planet itself. Hansmann, Mieg, Frischknecht, (2012) postulated that sustainability is an integration of three foundational facets: environmental, social and governance. It is crucial to acknowledge the association between finance and sustainability, due to its influential role in capital markets, together with ecological system improvement and reconciling social equity. The significance of ESG rumination in investment decision has had a mountainous impact on the overall sustainability

of the stock market, the country, as well as the world economy and society, and ecological balance. Jun, (2013) stated that the reckless behavior of companies may incur large costs related to clean-up costs in the case of major accidents, sustainability costs, resource consumption costs, loss of consumer trust, potential negative impacts on employee health and morale, responsibility towards local government, and investing stakeholders.

Moreover, ESGcompliant companies can gain customer loyalty, corporate reputation, access to capital, cost savings, innovation capacity, human resource management, and risk management (Ferrero-Ferrero, 2016), and these, in turn, increase the productivity and the payoff achieved in the long run (Graafland, & Smid, 2013). The global financial crisis (GFC) has shown the significance of good governance practice. Consequences of ESG malpractice and their effect on the environment, society and financial market can be illustrated from the incidents of the Exxon Valdez oil spill (1989) Nike's sweatshop criticism (2005) the BP oil spill of 2010 and Rena Plaza collapse of 2013, the BHS corporate governance scandal of 2016 as so forth.

The global financial crisis (GFC) between 2007 and 2009, witnessed a period of extreme stress in global financial markets and banking system(Australia, 2001-2018). The way investors' respond to these environmental issues is the focus of this study. Investment decision was previously followed by an ordinary triangle covering risk, liquidity, and return, however, a growing number of investors' nowadays use the phenomenal square, covering liquidity, risk, return and sustainability (VonWallis, & Klein, 2015). Henceforth, the investment decision process of investors' is not unique to all investors', but rather is heterogeneous to various investors.

Different investors use different strategies in evaluating management credibility. Some of the criteria include level of disclosure of statutory and non-statutory requirements, composition of board size, the independence of the audit committee, to mention but a few. One group of investors might only consider the financial outcomes of an investment and make their investment decision accordingly, while another group of investors might consider both the financial outcome and the ESG issues in their investment decision. The second group believe that there is correlation between firm's performance and the credibility of the management. This study examined the ESG investment decision of stock market investors' by measuring their willingness to pay a premium price, at the expense of return, and the percentage of portfolio investments in companies with vigorous ESG practices. The impact that businesses have on environment has gradually been given additional importance by a wealth of literature

due to the visible, far-reaching impact on biodiversity, the damage to natural resources, and accelerated global warming caused by corporate businesses. Hence, companies with compliant environmental practices can give credence to the generation of reasonable and sustainable financial returns, together with fulfilling their environmental accountabilities. Several prior studies have documented the relationship between environmental aspects and investment decision-making process, for example in the USA, Japan India, France and Australia. Investors' in the USA expressed that the evaluation of environmental issues helped them judge a companies' socially responsible behavior (Berry, & Junkus, 2013).

ESG practice disclosures by certain French firms influenced the investment decision and firm evaluation of private equity investors' whose socially irresponsible practices or policies regarding the environment reduced the investment likelihood by 30.8% (Crifo, Forget, & Teyssier, 2015). In addition, environmental issues are the most influential element of India investors' to achieve non-economic goals (Seerkumar Nair, & Ladha, 2014). In contrast, the Brazilian stock market does not reflect the incorporation of environmental issues in investment decision (Miralles-Quiros, Miralles- Quiros, & Valente Goncalves, 2018) Irresponsible industrial behavior contribute to environmental pollution in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. With the increasing attention of investors' around the world regarding the impact of environmental issues on investment decision, it is important to examine whether investors' in Nigeria consider environmental issues in their investment decision.

During the 2009 GFC, it took a matter of months for a downturn in the US housing market to spread like wild bush fire to the rest of the world through linkage in the global financial system. Many banks around the world incurred losses and relied on government for bail out to avoid bankruptcy. Millions of people lost their jobs as major economies around the globe experience depression after the great depression of 1929. To compound the problem, recovering rate was much slower than previous depressions that were not caused by financial crisis. The GFC led to the collapse of many major firms around the world and served as a wake-up call for investors in the financial markets in particular and other stakeholders in general to demand for greater non-financial information disclosure and audit (Sayema, Zulkifli, & Zainal, 2018). The investors were awakened to the apparent danger in the firms where they have entrusted their investments. The GFC gave impetus for search light into environmental, social and governance issues. Captains of industries became more conscious of their responsibility for accountability to stakeholders just as investors demand for greater disclosure of environmental issues information.

Environmental issues which are harmful effects of human activity on the biophysical environment has taken a frightening dimension in the last two decades in both developed and developing countries, thereby prompting environmental protection practices aimed at protecting the natural environment on individual, organizational and governmental levels for the benefit of both the environment and humans. Environmentalism, a social and environmental movement addresses environmental issues through advocacy, education and activism (Eccleston & Charles, 2010).

Climate disaster is on the rise, around 70% of the disaster are now climate related- up from around 50% from two decades ago. These disasters take heavier human toll and come with a higher price tag. In the last decade 2.4 billion people were affected by climate related disaster compared with 1.7 billion in the previous decade. The cost of responding to these disaster has risen tenfolds between 1992 and 2008 (Greenspan, & Clifford, 2002). Destructive sudden heavy rains, intense tropical storms, repeated flooding and droughts are likely to increase as will the vulnerability of local communities in the absence of strong concerned action (The United Nation Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), 2016)

Governance Issues

Productivity and Profitability are basic to asset assignment, maintainable business and the Brown framework (Busch, Bauer &Orlitzky, 2016). Organizations with proficient administration hone will probably have mindful social and environmental practice. Administration issues identify with the administration of firms and other investee substances, and incorporate board measure, structure, assorted variety, abilities, autonomy, internal control and hazard administration, official pay, divulgence of data, business morals, investors' rights, partners' association, connection between an organization's administration staff and different partners, pay off, and defilement (UNPRI, 2015).

Speculators have uncommon thought for social issues as long as they are in ligament with solid money related returns, demonstrating their inclination for administration issue of the organization, Rakotomavo (2011). Corporate administration is considered by 64% of securities exchange financial specialists in Australia respondents when settling on venture choice (Zwaan, Brimble& Stewart, 2015), though administration issues are not considered by securities exchange speculators in Brazil (TRCRI, 2013). Considering the accident in the Nigerian stock exchange in 2008 and the corporate outrage that trailed it, the study chose to

investigate whether speculators in securities exchange in Nigeria do consider the effect of corporate governance in their venture basic leadership.

Governance Issues and Investor' Decision

Productivity is basic to asset assignment, maintainable business and the Brown framework (Busch, Bauer and Orlitzky, 2016). Organizations with proficient administration hone will probably have mindful social and environmental practice. Administration issues identify with the administration of firms and other investee substances, and incorporate board measure, structure, assorted variety, abilities, autonomy, internal control and hazard administration, official pay, divulgence of data, business morals, investor's rights, partners' association, connection between an organization's administration staff and different partners, pay off, and defilement (UNPRI, 2015). The investor's first interest in making investment choice is the expected returns. The return itself is a function of the corporate governance in place in the organization.

Rakotomavo, (2011) opined that investors' have uncommon thought for social issues as long as they are in tendon with solid money related returns, demonstrating their inclination for governance issue of the organization. Corporate governance is considered by 64% of securities exchange financial specialists in Australia respondents when settling on venture choice (Zwaan, Brimble, & Stewart, 2015), though administration issues are not considered by securities exchange speculators in Brazil (TRCRI, 2013). Considering the accident in the Nigerian securities exchange in 2008 (Emenike, 2017) and the corporate outrage that trailed it, the study chose to investigate whether speculators in securities exchange in Nigeria do consider the effect of corporate governance in their venture basic leadership. Therefore, this prompts our third hypothesis

Efficient market hypothesis theory

Lubis (2017) opined that empirical studies have revealed that the efficient market hypothesis theory, which states that investors' will always behave rationally when making investment choices has been debunked, therefore there is nothing like efficient market and investors' are known to behave irrationally when making investment decisions. The position is further strengthened by other empirical studies which supported that mood swing often influence individual investment decision and therefore the issue of rationality is not supported by empirical study (Kliger, & Levy, 2003).

Luu (2014) examined the behavioural pattern of individual investors' in the stock market and the result was that many factors other than economic factors influences the investors' decision in the stock market. Empirical study to determine the impact of weather on returns and investors' decision-making showed a positive relationship between effect of weather and investors' decision (Lu, 2015). Other studies, Qureshi, Rehman, and Hunjra, (2012); Adetiloye and Babajide (2012); Tripathy (2014) point to the fact that psychological biases plays a role in the cognitive decision making of individual investors'. Besides the economic considerations and the psychological factor that influence the investor' decision, do investors' in the Nigerian stock market take into consideration environmental issues disclosure and management credibility when making investment decisions.?

Investors will be generally encouraged by the level of ESG issues disclosures by the management. Environmental issues incorporate air, water or asset contamination, greenhouse gases (GHG) discharge, climate change, changes to the nitrogen and phosphorous cycles, sea fermentation, changes in land use, wasteful administration, biodiversity misfortune, stratospheric ozone exhaustion, sustainable power source, vitality proficiency relating to the quality and activity of the earth, and natural system (GRI, 2002; TRCRI, 2013; UNGC 2014; UNPRI, 2015). The higher a firm discloses its compliance to the standards, the greater the management credibility all things being equal and reliance on the financial statement by investors.

Social issue on the other hand, relates to subjects identified with prosperity, right and enthusiasm of individuals and networks, primarily including work environment wellbeing and security, human rights, youngster and slave work, fortified work, work measures in production network, decent variety, opportunity of articulation and flexibility of affiliation, wellbeing and access to medicinal services, representative relations and human capital administration, relations with neighborhood networks, dubious weapons and purchaser assurance, and exercises in struggle zones (UNPRI, 2015). Investors believe that management credibility is enhanced with objective and transparent disclosure of the level of compliance with the above criteria.

While governance issues cover areas as identify with the administration of firms and other investee entities, and incorporate board size, structure, diversity, skill, autonomy, internal control and risk management, executive pay, information disclosure, business morals, investors' rights, partners' association, connection between an organization's administration staff and other stakeholders, executive pay, and defilement (UNPRI, 2015).

To ensure effective implementation of these principles the central bank of Nigeria CBN provided nine guidelines called the Nigerian Sustainability Banking Principles (NSBP) as in the table below. The general belief is that if the banks carried out due diligent on their clients before, during and after granting the loan facilities, those exposed to ESG hazard would have been identified at the credit screening stage. The final benefactors of all these control are the investors'. The objective of ESG disclosure is to enable investors' make informed business decision to reduce risk associated with investments and to maximize returns. This can only be possible if all material environmental information is made available to them.

Theoretical Review and Hypothesis Development

The study is anchored on the theory of planned behavior and stakeholders' theory.

The Theory of planned behavior(TPB) (Ajzen, 1985) or The Hypothesis of arranged conduct

Ajzen, (1985) propounded the theory of planned behavior in 1985 and reviewed it two years later in 1987. In psychology, the theory that links one's belief and behavior is called the theory of planned behavior. The theory states that attitude towards behavior, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control, together shape an individual's behavioral intention. This theory has been applied to various field of study. Applying the theory to the investors'behavioral attitude towards investment decision making, Ajzen (1985) opined that an investors' decision is influenced by factors such as family, friends, (subjective norms and environmental influence), his/her personal attitude towards investment, for instance expectation of return, risk, internal and external influence towards decision, perception towards all forms of investment.

Another strong factor that influences investors' decision is his/her intention to invest for instance in time deposit, gold, property, stock, mutual fund and self-efficacy also play a role in investors' decision making (time decision, agents decision, instrument decision. Also controlled behavior (personal capability to conduct investment) plays active role in investors' decision-making. However attitude towards investment and subjective norms exacts the greatest influence while other construct failed to show significant influence from empirical studies Ajzen (1985); Alleyen and Broome (2011); Southey (2011) , East (1993); Gopi and Rumayah (2007) ; Ajzen, (1991), Ajzen, and Fishbein (1969).

TPB clarifies that human 'aim' relies upon 'demeanor towards conduct,' and saw social control, 'goal' eventually prompts genuine conduct, Ajzen, (1985). In any case, the present

investigation utilizes just a single segment state of mind to foresee expectation in light of the goals of the examination. The TPB is operationalized by investigating the disposition of the share trading system financial specialist in regards to ESG issues, and subsequently concentrating on the expectation towards ESG contributing with the thought of venture choice. Disposition towards a conduct is characterized as an aggregate arrangement of reachable social convictions (Ajzen, 1991) and a person's preparation to play out a conduct is characterized as an aim (Fischbein, & Ajzen, 2011). Alleyne and Broome, (2011) postulated that among other mental develops, financial specialists' state of mind towards various speculation criteria have importance when settling on venture choice. Likewise, past examination Gopi and Ramayah, (2007) revealed the solid effect of state of mind on goal among speculators.

Stakeholders Theory or The partner hypothesis (Freeman, 1984)

The stakeholder theory and the theory of planned behaviour will be adopted for this study. (Monteriro & Aibtar- Guzman, 2010) postulated that stakeholders are interested in environmental behaviour of companies. The theory provides means of dealing with multiple stakeholders with multiple conflicting interests (Jamali, 2008).

The partner hypothesis is profoundly interrelated with the authenticity hypothesis. However, the legitimacy theory centers around the correspondence with society, stakeholder's theory center on the correspondence with various partner gatherings. As indicated by the partner hypothesis, society comprises of different partner gatherings. These gatherings have unequal capacity to influence the exercises of an association, however all gatherings are worried about the environmental execution of the organization (Roberts, 1992). The going worry of an association requires the partners' help and along these lines, the corporate exercises ought to be acclimated to the partners' requests.

The more power partners have, the more an organization must alter its exercises to partners' requests (Gray, 1995), in light of the fact that partners' can control assets that are basic for the exercises of an association (Ullman, 1985). Robert, (1992) proposed that revelation is a piece of the discourse between the organization and its partners for arranging the agreement. Investors' have right to information on environmental impact on their investment. Instances where responsible environmental disclosure practice has helped investors' in the United State of America to judge a companies' socially responsible behavior is demonstrated in, Berry, *et al.* (2013).

Also irresponsible environmental disclosure practice has led to the likelihood of decline in investment by 30.8% was exemplified in Crifo, *et al.* (2015) study. The importance of

environmental disclosure was further demonstrated when Sreekumar Nair *et al* (2014), alluded that environmental disclosure was the most influential element the Indians investors' considered to achieve non-economic goals. These explain why the study considered stakeholders theory as one of the cardinal theories on which this study is anchored.

Empirical Review

Environmental impact results in investment losses to the investors' and other stakeholder all over the world. In the developed economies, the impact of environmental issues has gained prevalence following the GFC between 2007 and 2009. In the developed countries, the investor are conversant with the impact of environmental issues and measures to mitigate the adverse environmental hazard had been put in place and well documented. However, this is not the case in the developing countries like Nigeria where the level of environmental issues disclosure is low (Uwuigbe, & Ajibolade, 2013). In the developing countries, inadequate disclosure of non-financial information by managers and low awareness by investors' often result in huge investment losses.

The global financial crisis (GFC) has demonstrated the importance of good administration rehearses. Sayema, *et al* (2018) stated that the result of ESG acts of neglect and their impact on nature, society and monetary market can be shown from the episodes of the Exxon Valdez Oil spill (1989). History of Exxon Valdez Oil Spill, (2018) revealed that Exxon Valdez oil spill was a manmade disaster. Exxon Valdez was as a tanker owned by Exxon shipping company, which ran aground in Prince William Sound in Alaska, USA on 24th March 1989. That was the biggest oil spill in the U.S until the Gulf of Mexico spill of (2010).

The Exxon Valdez spill resulted in 11,000,000 gallons (41,640) kiloliters of North Slope crude oil being spilled across 1,300 miles (2092 km) as revealed by (History of Exxon Valdez Oil Spill, (2018). Nike's sweatshop feedback (2005), saw Nike, the undisputed world giant in the manufacture of sports foot wares and clothing materials, admitting to the company's inhuman treatment to its factory workers in Asia countries, including payment of lower than minimum wages, forced overtime work, restriction to water and toilet during work days to mention but a few. Teather, 2005 aptly captured the worldwide condemnation and the protest that tread the action. The Coca Cola's work and environmental malpractice (2006), was another example.

Zachary (2018) captured vividly the scandalous deal by the company's employee to sell the business product secret to its arch rival the PepsiCo. PepsiCo and Coca-Cola placed ethics before profit as PepsiCo declined the offer and reported it to Coca-Cola company. Another ecological disaster was the Gulf of Mexico oil spill of (2010). Robertson, Krauss, Einhorn, & Schwartz, (2010) described the Gulf of Mexico oil spill of 2010 as one of the deadliest in human history. Robertson *et al* stated that over 1.7 million persons were displaced and \$65b was paid as compensation to the affected people.

The Rana Plaza building collapse of 2013 in Bangladesh is still fresh in memories. Tansy, (2015) described it as the deadliest building collapse in human history as the collapse claimed 1,134 lives and another 2,500 were rescued with various degrees of injuries, billions of dollars in investments were lost to clothing factory, banks, apartments and shops located in the building Tansy concluded. Another ecological calamity was the collapse in 2016 of the 88 years old British Home Stores (BHS).

The collapse of BHS was a big tragedy that sent 11,000 employees into the unemployment market. Huge pension arrears and poor debt management were the bane of the organization. All efforts to save the jobs of the employees through appointment of administrators failed and the business empire was finally liquidated in December 2016 as captured by (Quinn, 2016).

This emergency elevated the worry of the interest of the organizations for moral conduct, oversight of hazard, responsibility, and capacity to deal with partners' deliberately. The Nigerian situation was not different from the situations explained. In the last two decades, the Nigerian economy has witnessed the collapse of the stock market, the liquidation of many banks, the financial scandal of business like Cadbury Nigerian limited, liver brothers to mention a few. Investors' have lost their life savings to business failures and many have been rendered jobless.

In the light of this, the investors in the stock market have turned out to be progressively concerned with respect to environmental, social and governance issues in the organizations included. These factors increased the demand for ESG issues audit and disclosure. Failure to disclose the information has cast doubts in the mind of the investors about management's credibility.

Environmental issues include climate change and Ozone layer depletion, quality and quantity of water, air pollution, insurgency, cyber café fraud, customer and supply chain and activities

of competitors, (Camilleri, 2015). The investors' will want to know if the entity has information about these factors that will in no doubt affect their investment. If the entity has information about them, what measures have they put in place to mitigate against them to ensure the safety of the investor's investment? While the problems of environmental information disclosure has long been receiving adequate attention in advanced countries, the case is not the same in developing countries like Nigeria where the level of environmental disclosure and environmental protection is still very low (Welbeck, Owusu, Bekoe & Kusi, 2017).

This lack of disclosure of ESG issues have resulted in increased risk on investment and low returns to investors' and other stakeholder. The collapse of banks in Nigeria from 1995-2000 and stock market 2008-2012 respectively were attributed to regulatory failures (Ahmed, & Bello, 2015). These events resulted in huge loss of investment by investors' and other stakeholders in Nigeria. The level of environmental disclosure and environmental protection is still very low in developing countries.

Investors' in developing countries have little knowledge on how ESG issues will affect their investment. In addition, managers of entities in the developing countries have not fully imbibed the policy of transparent disclosure of environmental information to guide investors' in the decision-making. Environmental disclosure though comes with cost but its advantages outweigh its disadvantages from the legitimacy theory point of view. Both the investors' and the management stand to gain from transparent disclosure of environmental information.

Wei & Wang, (2016) opined that majority of the studies on the effect of environmental disclosure on investor' decision at the stock market has been carried out using the archival data. This has been the source of the inconclusiveness in the results. This study aims at examining the influence that environmental issues, social issues and governance issues, as well as purpose of investment has on investor' investment decision-making. This study used investment horizon (tenor) as moderating variable.

Empirical studies in developed countries

Environmental disclosure is a term used to explain all steps taken by management to transparently divulge all material non-financial information about the activities and operations of an entity in a manner that will enable the investors' and other stakeholders to make informed investment decision (Oghojafor, George, & Owoyemi, 2012). Environmental

disclosure has gained prevalence following the GFC that devastated many entities including the Nigeria stock market (Ahmed, & Bello, 2015; Emenike, 2017).

Sayema, *et al* (2018) opined that the GFC and the collapse of many major companies, resulted in increased demand by stakeholders for disclosure and audit of material environmental issues. The developed countries like United States, Canada, United Kingdom, France and Germany have a long history of awareness of the negative impact of environmental hazards in their ecosystem and business activities (2014/52/EU, 1985). These countries have always had contingent plans to mitigate the impact of environmental issues.

However, History of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) system and measures taken around the world, revealed that the reverse is the case with the developing countries like Nigeria, Malaysia, Ghana, Indonesia and Kenya. There have been studies on environmental issues among the advanced countries and these studies are well documented to guide investors' in all facets of business activities. On the contrary, the low level of environmental information disclosure in Nigeria and other developing nations means that the investors' in developing countries do not have adequate information on environmental issues to guide their investment decision-making process.

Uwugbe and Ajibolade (2013) opined that the level of environmental disclosure among listed firms in the Nigerian stock exchange is low. Haladu and Salim, (2016) examined the relationship that compares environmental reporting and corporate financial performance, corporate ownership structure and industry type. They weighed this in conjunction with the impact of government agencies on environmental protection and enforcement, their findings point to the direction that environmental divulgence has significantly improved as more than 55% of entities surveyed showed marked improvement in their disclosure rates.

The findings in Haladu and Salim (2016) notwithstanding, the conscientious are that gap in environmental disclosure information between the developed and developing nations is still wide. Besides the inadequate information on environmental issues in the developing countries, the many of the studies carried out in this region, are based on archival data resulting in most cases in inconclusive findings. In view of this study opted for the survey research method.

To underscore the level of environmental awareness in the developed countries, the European Union (EU) issued the EU directive on non-financial reporting also known as environmental issues reporting. The EU directive of 2013/34/EU mandated all large companies with staff strength of 500 and more, to disclose environmental, social and governance (ESG)

information in their annual reports with effect from 2018. Under directive 2014/95/EU, information to be disclosed include: environmental insurance, social duty and treatment of representatives, Respect for human rights, Anti-Corruption and bribery, diversity on entity's board (with respect to age, gender and skill

Europe and America have carried out broad investigation on environmental issues. A portion of the examinations concentrated on the quality and amount of environmental issues disclosure. Others concentrated on corporate characteristics as they impacts on environmental divulgence. These corporate characteristics include firm size, benefit, quality of internal control, reviewing and bookkeeping gauges, government ordered exposure of environmental execution, intentional divulgence, poisonous gas discharged, number of organizations which have actualized the ISO 14001/EMAS on the way they work and handle environmental, social and governance issues, as they impacts on environmental divulgence (Camilleri, 2015).

The failure of the industries were alluded to many factors prominent among which were external dimension, corporate failure and the failure of the management to disclose information on the non-financial health situation of the entities (Emenike, (2017). Nigeria as a nation also had its own fair share of the global financial crises as the period corresponded with the period of the collapse of the Nigerian capital market and failure of many banks. This raised the question as to what extent does the investor know about the entities to which they entrusted their resources.

Ienciu, (2012) postulated that environmental disclosure practice varies across Europe and attributed the variation to mandatory disclosure required by law, voluntary disclosure by corporate entities, firm attributes to mention but a few. Until recently, environmental disclosure has remain a voluntary issue and companies that make disclosures does so at their own discretion or because of pressure from the public, mass media or purely to increase the firms' reputational value. This point is supported by the legitimacy theory. However, mandatory environmental disclosure is the most efficient way to increase the quantity and quality of environmental disclosure (Ienciu, 2012). Others studies examine the impact of environmental disclosure on corporate performance on selected listed companies and concluded that there is positive association between environmental disclosure and firm performance.

Vieira, (2014) opined that companies that disclosed environmental information recorded higher value per stock at the stock market than those that do not disclose ESG information. This position was collaborated in (Sayema, Zulkifli and Zainal, 2018). Sayema *et al* (2018) findings show that companies in Bangladesh that transparently disclosed environmental information recorded higher stock value and stock volume purchased in the Bangladesh stock exchange. Uwuigbe and Ajibolade, (2013) postulated that the level of environmental disclosure among listed companies in Nigeria is low. However, the reverse is the case in developed nations where companies' disclosure of social and environmental data are now somewhat dated (Roberts, 1988).

Environmental issues disclosure is the responsibility of all entities engaged in any business activities but most especially those in the high risk sectors like mining, manufacturing, oil and gas, chemical production to mention but a few. The company and allied matter Act 1990 as amended in 2004 required every entity operating in Nigeria to conduct its activities in a manner that is safe for the economy and the society. The international best practice identified some key performance index to assess organizations that are conducting their activities and operation in a manner that promotes sustainability.

Also Nigeria being a signatory to various international treaties such as the United Nations Global Compact, (UNGC, 2014), United Nations Principles of Responsible Investment (UNPRI 2015), and the Global Reporting Initiatives guideline (GRI, 2000) ensure that the listed companies comply with sustainability reporting. In addition, the CBN circular on Nigerian Sustainability Banking Principles (NSBP) demand among other things, ESG issues disclosure from the listed banks in the financial markets.

By the nature of the operations and activities of the corporate and investment banks in particular, they stand the least chance of generating poisonous substances such as greenhouse gases (GHG), pollution, degradation of environment, destruction of ecosystem, biodiversity and other environmental hazards. These banks are not actually disclosing ESG as it affects their activities but are to evaluate the ESG issues in their clients' business location, Product, and any other issue that will be of interest to the investors' and other stakeholders. The bank has a duty to ensure that they do not aid their clients in activities that will impact negatively on the environment, staff, community and society.

The Nigerian financial system is made up of all institutions performing intermediation function with the Nigerian capital market (Askira, Aklahyel, & Gaya, 2014). The primary

market operators in the capital market are long-term loan providers to entities whose activities are subject of ESG issues. The corporate and investment banks should not be seen to be aiding ESG violation by their clients. They want to ensure that their clients conduct their businesses in environment that is free from adverse ESG issues. In addition, where negative environmental impact becomes inevitable, the loan providers want to see that the client to mitigate the negative impact on the community, investors', puts contingent measures in place product, environment, and other stakeholders. The Nigerian stock market collapsed between 2008 and 2012 due partly to external dimensions but due to colossal failure on the part of the regulators of the sector (Ahmed, & Bello, 2015).

Bani-Khalid, Kouhy, and Hassan, (2017) opined that there has been expanded interest by stakeholders for environmental disclosure. The practice had been for firms to concentrate on wealth maximization drive as the cardinal objective of the firm. Investors' then relied mainly on the economic information divulged by firms to make informed investment decisions. However, the collapse of many firms due to corporate failure in the last decade arose the interest of the stakeholders and the demand for more transparent disclosure of non-financial information.

In advanced nations like America, Canada, Britain and Germany, everybody is getting increasingly aware about the issues of environmental security and this has made environmental disclosure an imperative data. Deegan and Rankin, (1997) opined that environmental revelation is imperative to firm stakeholders. Especially, environmental revelation has turned into a key marker for investors' to choose stocks. Investor' accentuation is currently on subjective financial data otherwise called maintainability answers, to help them in surveying the risk and returns in their ventures. Transparent environmental disclosure will assist investors' in making informed business decision.

Numerous examinations have inspected the impacts of environmental disclosure on the securities exchanges in developed nations (Halme&Niskanen, (2001); Al-Tuwaijri, Christensen, & Hughes, (2004); Cho, & Patten, (2007). The discoveries are varied. A few discoveries demonstrate that environmental divulgence can possibly build stock costs, Dasgupta *et al*, (2001); Al-Tuwaijri, Christensen, & Hughes, (2004) while a few discoveries uncovered that environmental exposure prompts the decrease in stock costs, (Walley& Whitehead, (1994). Dejean and Martinez's exact examination to decide the effect of corporate environmental exposure on cost of value in French SBF 120 securities exchange,

did not prompt the end that organizations unveiling environmental data fundamentally bring down the expense of value (Dejean, Frederique & Martinez, 2009).

Larger part of the prior investigations on environmental issues was on relation between environmental expenditure and the eco-efficiency performance measure. Granted that most firms have disclosed huge expenditure on environmental protection, but does not tally with the level of eco-efficiency measures on ground. Exact examination by Yook, Song, Patten, and Kim, (2017) revealed that there is negative relationship between reported environmental control costs and the eco-efficiency performance measure. If anything, the findings only support the thinking of the proponents of legitimacy theory as against those of the school of thought on voluntary disclosure.

The use of internet has made the communication of both financial and non-financial (ESG) information from firms to stakeholders faster and at lower cost. This has helped the investors' to improve on the quality of their investment decision, Alarussi, Hanefay, and Salamat, (2013) opined that there is positive linear relationship between the use of internet financial disclosure(IFD) and internet environmental disclosure (IED). Most earlier studies on environmental disclosure centered on the components that impacts the nature of environmental revelation and its effect on corporate execution. The researchers employed firm attributes, such as the size of the firm, the number of employees, leverage, the age of the firm, profitability and community development, to evaluate environmental disclosure quality and firm performance.

Burgwal, and Vieira, (2014) opined that while firm size, industry type and membership, positively influences the quality of environmental disclosure and firm corporate performance but that profitability does not significantly influence environmental disclosure quality and firm performance. The findings collaborated the result in MinieBhalla, (2018). Peter and Mbu-Ogar, (2018) stated that in their evaluation of the impact of environmental issues on the performance of quoted oil and gas companies in Nigeria, their findings revealed that employees' health and safety, community development issues do not have positive influence on the firm financial performance but rather waste management and firms' previous years' financial performance disclosure have positive influence on value of the firm's stock.

The study on environmental issues and its impact on the investors' in the stock of firms has been in the front burner of most advanced countries. Rebert, Andrew and Gustavo, (2002) stated that the USA, Canada and Mexico set up a commission called North American Free

Trade Agreement, NAFTA, to examine the practice of environmental issues in the three countries with a view to finding a common ground to improve cross-border trade and investment among the three countries,. The terms of reference was to identify the areas of difference and harmonized them for transparent disclosure of financial and environmental issues among the three countries.

This has boosted cross-border trade and investment among the three countries. Following the successes from the NAFTA, the chartered accountants in Canada, commissioned another paper on environmental and ethical issues for capital markets Canada,(2004). The paper, simply referred to as National Round Table on the Environment, Economy, (NRTEE), has its objective as deepening the understanding of the impact of environmental, and ethical issues on the financial market in Canada, with a view to assisting investors' make best investment decisions.

Empirical study with respect to individual behavior towards investment decision showed a consistency with the findings of the behavioral finance theory as espoused by Kahneman and Tversky (1997). Ambrose, (2014), opined that past performance of the firms' stock, price per share, feelings on the economy and expected dividend by the investors' are the factors that influences the investor' decision. Voluntary disclosures of information have both positive and negative impacts. Xiaoyan, (2007) postulated that on the positive side, voluntary disclosure will lead to more accurate pricing and improved investment efficiency, on the other hand, the firm may use voluntary disclosure opportunistically to effect the market pricing in its favor which can be detrimental to investment efficiency.

Environmental issues impacts on all facets of the financial market, ranging from financial liquidity, cost of equity to analyst forecast of earnings. In a related study, the objective of which was to examine the impact of environmental disclosure on the stock market liquidity, it was found that the level of environmental disclosure of Arab Middle Eastern and North African companies (MENA) was quite low. Mejda & Hakim, (2015)stated that the analysis of 276 companies showed that the higher the level of environmental disclosure provided in the annual reports, the lower the spread between the market bids and ask prices, thereby indicating an increase in stock market liquidity.

Empirical reports has shown that companies that vigorously report their environmental issues often record higher returns in addition to fulfilling their environmental accountabilities. Investors' in USA expressed that the evaluation of environment issues helps them judge a company's socially responsible behavior(Berry & Junkus, 2013). The analyst need

environmental disclosure information to be precise and accurate in his earnings forecast in the stock market. It was in view of this that a study was carried out covering the continental Europe (Belgium, France, Germany, and Netherlands) and North America (Canada and United States). The objective of the study was to determine the impact of environmental disclosure on the Analyst's forecast earnings.

The discoveries demonstrated that there is a positive connection between environmental divulgence and exact gaining figure by expert. Such impact is lessened for firms with broad expert' after and in environmentally touchy businesses. In any case, these relationship are appeared to be starker in Europe than in North America, meaning that environmental exposure greatly affects investigator's figure but at the same time is all the more extraordinarily weakened by expert after and participation on environmentally touchy industry.

Environmental revelation information is at present willful in numerous nations on the globe. There are no statutory declaration on organizations to reveal environmental data against which authorize are forced for resistance. In view of this, most organizations often exaggerate the level of environmental protection expenditure and execution.

Liu, Liu, and McConkey, (2011) stated that environmental execution on recorded organizations' uncovered contrasts as environmental exposure and additionally revelation substance and degree. The environmental data revealed cannot mirror the genuine environmental execution level of the recorded organizations and a few organizations with low level of environmental execution are probably going to unveil more environmental data. With a specific end goal to get the environmental administration level of recorded organizations even more precisely, we earnestly require a control in environmental exposure (Liu, Liu, &McConkey, 2011). There has been expanded interest by partners for environmental divulgence. The revelation of important environmental arrangement will empower speculator to settle on educated business choice and diminish dangers related with interest in securities.

To underscore the significance of environmental revelation of data, there has been worldwide coordinated effort between created countries, with a view to think about the distinctions and similitudes in environmental divulgence in their particular nations with a view to orchestrating at that point to advance unhindered commerce among nations that are signatories to such arrangements. One that readily come to mind is the commission for environmental participation under the North American Agreement on Environmental

collaboration (NAAEC) to address environmental issues in North America from mainland point of view with a specific spotlight on those emerging concerning changed exchange. The United State, Canada and Mexico consented to the arrangement in 2002. The goal was to advance a North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) among the three nations. The NAFTA is likewise expected to elevate reasonable improvement and to fortify the advancement and authorization of environmental laws and directions. Expanded straightforwardness to speculators of the money related dangers and chances to which organizations are uncovered by righteousness of their environmental administration choices could be an intense market impetus for practical advancement and for consistence with environmental directions.

Empirical study has revealed that there is significant difference between the competitive advantages impairment between environmentally sensitive industries (ESI) and non-environmentally sensitive industries (NESI) (Hui-Cheng, Lopin, & Mao-Feng, 2016). Further comparison on the relationship between overall CSR disclosure and competitive advantage among state –owned enterprises, privately owned enterprises, ESIs and NESIs suggest that the relationship is negative. Monetary divulgence of material environmental data expels a potential crack between the interests of directors, proprietors and banks. It likewise presents an intense market-based, non- administrative motivator for reasonable environmental administration. In each of the three nations that are gatherings to NAFTA, however their particular exposure prerequisites contrast, the mutual fundamental guideline is that organizations should divulgence whatever data is important for financial specialists to make balanced, educated venture choices.

This general standard of "materiality" covers not just later and current monetary conditions and aftereffects of tasks, points of interest of administration and proprietorship, and purposes for which capital is to be utilized yet in addition a wide assortment of business, legitimate, and administrative dangers and exposures. It is mostly acknowledged that an organization's environmental execution and prerequisites could comprise material data under this expansive standard of materiality. Sonde and Pitt, (1971) stated that while measures of what is material may shift with the setting in which exposures are to be made, in any setting certain divulgence of a biological nature will dependably be material and are, accordingly, required under existing controls"

Satisfactory exposure of material data, regardless of whether environmental or not, is vital not just for the proficient working of capital markets yet in addition to keep a basic impetus

disappointment in the administration of modern organizations. Without satisfactory revelation, a key connection between the proprietors and chiefs of enterprises will be broken. Except if budgetary market valuations of hazard and return precisely mirror the money related dangers that organizations bring about through their environmental administration choices, an essential market motivation for judicious environmental administration will need sound speculations to decrease future environmental costs, liabilities, or dangers might be underestimated in the capital markets and in this way disheartened.

Hilter kilter data about organizations' environmental exposures makes important specialist issues on the off chance those outer financial specialists cannot precisely esteem organizations' interests in contamination control; supervisors may be motivated to blow up income for short-run gain by disregarding such speculations (Milgrom, & Robert, 1992). Essentially, financial specialists will not remunerate administrators that position their organizations to increase upper hand by righteousness of their better capacity than adapt to approaching environmental difficulties probably, so such procedures may be disheartened.

Adjusting the premiums of administration to that of proprietors is a basic capacity of capital markets. It is difficult to accomplish except if speculators are satisfactorily educated about the monetary ramifications of administrative choices. The more grounded the impact of outside speculators over administration choices, including choices about environmental hazard, the more essential is it that outer speculators be completely educated about the monetary ramifications of those dangers.

There is extensive confirmation that the materiality of environmental data has expanded significantly in the previous 25 years. For instance, increasing costs are required for consistence with environmental directions. Somewhere in the range of 1972 and 1994, consumptions by US organizations on contamination decrease and control dramatically increased in genuine terms (Vogan, 1996). Comparable patterns are found in Canada and Mexico. (ii) Twenty-five years back, just a minor part of institutionally oversaw resources were in Socially screened assets or portfolios that expressly viewed as environmental execution as a venture standard. Today, it is evaluated that more than \$1.5 trillion lives in Socially and environmentally screened portfolios, while the quantity of screened shared assets has ascended to 175, from only 55 five years prior (Social Investment Forum 1999). Socially capable contributing can never again be viewed as an irrelevant marvel.

It has been exhibited more than once that exposure of data in regards to an organization's outflows, regardless of whether lawful or its inability to conform to environmental directions or its potential risk to environmental remediation prerequisites has affected the organization's stock cost. Supposed "occasion ponders" have distinguished unmistakable market responses to such environmental news affirming that securities exchange financial specialists consider such environmental data significant (Barth, & McNichols, 1994; Hamilton, 1995; (Campbell, Sefcik, & Soderstrom, 1998)

Several money related research administrations have raised in the US and Canada that pitch environmental execution data to speculators. These incorporate Kinder, Lydenburg, and Domini, the Investor' Responsibility Research Service, and Invest among others.

Most huge venture houses additionally utilize environmental administrators and embrace in-house explore on environmental issues influencing organizations. The way that the age and offer of environmental data has risen in the venture network as an economic movement demonstrates that expert speculators consider such data significant to their choices and hence fiscally material.

Nevertheless, the accessibility of data on environmental issues has not kept pace with this developing materiality. Milford and Reston expressed that exact investigation has demonstrated that digital security abilities deficiency is broadening prompting loss of fundamental data information and greater part of wrong business speculations choices in numerous collective (<https://www.issa.org> or <http://www.esg-global.com/esg-issa-examine-report>, 2017). As indicated by the exploration firms that pitch data to screened finance chiefs, environmental data is among the hardest to get. Indeed, even in the United States, where community to official data is maybe most developed, numerous EPA and state government databases, including those that are hypothetically in people in general area, are difficult to get to, regularly off base, conflicting or outdated, and not organized in manners that are helpful for money related or organization particular investigation.

Additionally, environmental reports issued by organizations themselves are normally specific, unstandardized, and irrelevant to money related proclamations (Williams, 1999; Birchard, 1996). Thusly, the data accessible through remain solitary environmental reports, from government organizations or from environmental research administrations does not

substitute successfully for satisfactory exposure of monetarily material environmental data in organization divulgements.

Data exposure has been ended up being a crucial administrative device in monetary markets as well as in the control of environmental contamination. It has been exhibited that giving data to the general population with respect to organizations' environmentally harming conduct has caused the organizations adequate reputational misfortunes that their conduct has been influenced. People in general arrival of the US EPA's Toxics Release Inventory instigated a considerable lot of the biggest producers to make open duties and make a move to lessen their arrivals of harmful synthetic concoctions (Konar, & Cohen, 1997; Khanna, Quimo, & Bojilova, 1998). Involvement in different nations has likewise demonstrated that open exposure of contamination is viable in prompting enhancements in environmental execution (Teitenberg, & Wheeler, 2001; World Bank, 1999).

The falling expenses of data spread through the internet make data revelation an undeniably ground-breaking strategy instrument. Both oneself revealed data in yearly and quarterly budgetary divulgements and data from outside sources have impacts on capital markets. In any case, as may be normal, firms that training more full money related divulgence themselves endure less antagonistic market impacts when outside data ends up accessible (Blacconiere, & Northcut, 1997); Blacconiere, & Patten, 1994; Patten & Nance 1998).

In this manner, expanded exposure can be in an organization's best advantage since it might lessen showcase vulnerability and unpredictability. Thus, an ever increasing number of organizations are issuing remain solitary environmental reports, however these are once in a while, if at any point, incorporated with money related announcing (KPMG 2000). The importance of information disclosure to investors' cannot be over emphasized. Investors' needed reliable financial and non-financial information to make informed decision.

Cormier, Ledoux, and Magnan, (2011) stated the relevance of informational contribution of social and environmental disclosure to investors' and concluded that it is vital for investment decision making. Research in Canada, where firms have more tact in receiving environmental exposure measures, has discovered that huge capitalization firms with more noteworthy dependence on outside capital markets and whose securities are all the more effectively exchanged will probably unveil environmental data. Firmly held firms and firms in poor money related condition are less inclined to do as such (Cormier, & Magnan, 1999; Li & McConomoy 1999).

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

The study adopted survey research design. The survey research design is a valuable tool for assessing opinions and trends (Isaac & Michael, 1997). The reason for the adoption of the survey research design is based on past similar study, Sayema, et al., (2018). Survey research is used to address the research questions raised and proffer solutions to problems posed by study of this nature, which involves analysis of trend across time, and generally, to describe what existed, in what amount, and in what content (Salaria, 2012)

To this end, a survey that assessed the effect of environmental disclosure on investor's investment decision conducted with instrument of questionnaire administered to the staff of selected banks in the Nigerian financial market.

The study population cut across the senior and junior level cadre of selected banks based on the NBS-Banking Sector Data of 2017. This group of staff is usually more conversant with the banking operations (Imeokparia (2013). The study selected 21 commercial banks out of the 27 licensed deposit money banks in Nigeria and regulated by the central bank of Nigeria as at 31 December 2017. Furthermore, 11 banks were purposively selected using event criteria of those banks that have complete information for the study and were continuously listed during the period of the research 2008-2017. The choice of commercial banks is predicated on the nature of their activities which range from lending to borrowing, investment banking, loan syndication, institutional investors, project financing, to mention a few.

Table 3.1 Staff Strength of DMBs in Nigeria

Category	CBs	MBs	NIB	DMBs Total
Executive	183	20	10	213
Senior	16,905	174	65	17,144
Junior	39,909	288	352	40,549
Contract staff	43,593	33	329	43,955

	100,590	515	756	101,861
No of Banks	21	5	1	27

Sources: NBS-Banking sector data (2017)

Key: CBs=Commercial Banks, MBs= Merchant Banks, NIB= Non-interest Banks, DMBs = Deposit Money Banks

Research Instrument

A structured questionnaire divided into three sections of A, B, and C was used to gather data from the employees of the selected banks based on the sample selection and sample frame. The items in the research instrument include self-designed, after consideration of many factors, and some adapted from existing studies, which include Sayemaet *al* (2018). The research instrument was divided into three sections A, B and C. Section B and C were adapted in form of a likert scale, which had seven-point scale of strongly disagree (SD) as (1) disagree (D) as (2) somewhat disagree as (3) , neither agree nor disagree (4), somewhat agree (5), agree (6), strongly agree (7). Respondents indicated their opinions with respect to environmental, social, and governance disclosure issues, savings purpose as well as investment horizon issues.

Section “A” contained questions on demographical data of the respondents with respect to gender, age, educational qualification, professional level, religion, work experience etc.

Section B contained items on the objective of the research study, which concerned impact of environmental disclosure on investor’s decision in the financial markets in Nigeria. The variables included in this section are the dependent variable such as investor’s stock volume purchased, share price, share price volatility, investor’ perception of management credibility and so on.

Section C contained items from the independent variable such as environmental issues, social issues, governance issues, savings purpose and Investment Horizon. Validity test was conducted for content validity and construct validity (Li, 2016). Content validity indicates the extent to which item adequately measures the property intended to measure. In this respect, subject matter expert and peer review were conducted and correction made where necessary (Sangoseni, Hellman & Hill, (2013).

Reliability test of Research instrument

The result of a pilot study conducted between August and September 2018 with the research instrument administered on 60 staff of institutional investors’, private investors’, and academics is shown 3.4

Nunnally& Bernstein (1994) have prescribed the general convention in research, which states that one should strive for reliability value of 0.70 or higher. It is worthy to know that the larger the items in our construct, the more reliable our scale will become. The alpha value of the items relating to each variable from the test were all more than this acceptable minimum, hence the result indicated that the item relating to the variable are highly reliable.

Method of Data Analysis

This study utilized scientific method to test the speculations; the methods were quantitative and qualitative and helped the study to achieve a discerning clarification of the issues brought up in the investigation. To dissect the quantitative information, the studyutilized the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) variant 21.0. Descriptive statistics was used to analyze the demographical data to determine percentages, mean and so on.

Multiple regression analysis was used to determine if there were any significant effect of one variable on the other, while correlational analysis was used to measure the strength of association between variables. Regression analysis focused on relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables. It helped to understand how the typical value of the dependent variable changes when a unit of the independent variables is varied, while the other independent variables are held fixed. The correlation coefficient, which is a measure of linear relationship between variables, has its values ranging between -1 and +1. A correlation coefficient of +1 indicates that two variables are perfectly related in a positive linear sense while a correlation coefficient of -1 indicates that two variables are perfectly related in a negative linear sense. Correlation coefficient of 0 indicates there is no linear relationship between two variables.

4.0 Data Analysis and Findings

Data obtained were analyzed in this section. This section is divided into two main parts, these are: descriptive analysis and empirical analysis

Table 4.1Governance issues and Measure of Management Credibility

S/N	Measure of Management Credibility	SA	A	SWA	N	SWD	D	SD	MEAN
1	Transparent disclosure of	74(15.4%)	150(31.3%)	197(41.1%)	56(11.7%)	3(0.6%)	0	0	5.4917

	environmental issues will influence investors' perception of management credibility								
2	The level of firm's compliance with international treaties will influence investor' perception of management credibility	76(15.8%)	156(32.5%)	196(40.8%)	47(9.8%)	4(0.8%)	0	0	5.5333
3	The level of compliance with local rules and regulations will influence investor' perception of management credibility	75(15.6%)	154(32.1%)	196(40.8%)	53(11%)	2(0.4%)	0	0	5.5146
4	Firms' relationship with host community will influence investor' perception of management credibility	150(31.3%)	169(35.2%)	114(23.8%)	40(8.3%)	6(1.3%)	1(0.2%)	0	5.8625
5	Firms' relationship with stakeholders and other entities will influence investor' perception of management credibility	140(29.2%)	191(39.8%)	117(24.4%)	28(5.8%)	4(0.8%)	0	0	5.9063

Source: Field Survey, 2019

Interpretation

From Table 4.1, 15.4% of the respondents strongly agree that transparent disclosure of environmental issues will influence investor' perception of management credibility 31.3% agree to this notion; 41% of the respondents somewhat agree to this notion while 11.7% neither agree nor disagree to the notion while a total of 0.6% respondents somewhat disagree, no respondent disagree and none also strongly disagree to this notion. With the mean of 5.4817, it can be said that on the average, the respondent somewhat agree that transparent

disclosure of environmental issues will influence investor' perception of management credibility.

In another development, 15.8% of the respondents strongly agree that the level of firm's compliance with international treaties will influence investor' perception of management credibility, 32.5% respondent agree to this notion. 40.8% somewhat agree that to this notion. 9.8% of the respondent neither agree nor disagree to this notion while 0.8% somewhat disagree and no respondent disagree and none strongly disagree to this notion. With the mean of 5.5333, it can therefore be said that on the average, the respondent agree that the level of firm's compliance with international treaties will influence investor' perception of management credibility.

With 15.6% of the respondents strongly agree that the level of compliance with local rules and regulations will influence investor' perception of management credibility. 32.1% respondent agree to this notion. 40.8% somewhat agree that to this notion. 11% of the respondent neither agree nor disagree to this notion while 0.4% somewhat disagree and no respondent disagree and none strongly disagree to this notion. With the mean of 5.5146, therefore it can be concluded that on the average, the respondent agree that the level of compliance with local rules and regulations will influence investor' perception of management credibility.

Furthermore, Table 4.5 showed that 31.3% of the respondents strongly agree that Firms' relationship with host community will influence investor' perception of management credibility 35.2% of respondents agree with this opinion. Also 23.8% of the respondents somewhat agree to this notion. 8.3% of the respondent neither agree nor disagree to this notion while 1.3% somewhat disagree and 0.2 respondent disagree with this opinion, while, no respondent strongly disagree. Therefore, it can be concluded from the mean of 5.8625 that on the average, the respondents agree that firms' relationship with host community will influence investor' perception of management credibility.

Also, 29.2% of the respondents strongly agree that the firms' relationship with stakeholders and other entities will influence investor' perception of management credibility. 39.8% of respondents agree with this notion. Also 24.4% of the respondents somewhat agree to this notion. 5.8% of the respondent neither agree nor disagree to this notion while 0.8% somewhat disagree with this opinion. No respondent disagree nor strongly disagree with this opinion. Given the mean of 5.9063, it can be concluded that on the average, the respondents agree that

firms' relationship with stakeholders and other entities will influence investor' perception of management credibility.

Research Hypothesis: Disclosure on Environmental issues does not significantly affect investor' perception of management credibility in Nigerian financial market.

Table 4.15 Regression Estimate

Variable	Model 3			
	Coefficient	Std Error	t-Stat.	Prob.
C	4.721	0.372	12.693	0.000*
EID	0.085	0.042	2.034	0.042*
SID	0.093	0.038	2.488	0.013*
GID	0.057	0.041	1.369	0.172
SPD	0.104	0.031	3.376	0.001*
R ²	0.051			
Adj. R ²	0.043			
S.E of Reg	0.433			
F-Statistic	6.392			
Prob.(F-Stat)	0.000*			
Obs	480			

Dependent Variable: PMC

*significance at 5%

Source: Researcher's computation, 2019

$$PMCi = \alpha_3 + \beta_9 EID_i + \beta_{10} SID_i + \beta_{11} GID_i + \beta_{12} SPD_i + \mu_i$$

$$PMC_i = 4.721 + 0.085EID_i + 0.093SID_i + 0.057GID_i + 0.104SPD_i$$

Interpretation

The multiple linear regression estimate of model 3 shows that environmental issues disclosure measured by Environmental Disclosure (EID), Social Issues Disclosure (SID), Governance issues disclosure (GID), and Savings Purpose (SPD) have positive effect on investor' perception of management credibility (PMC). This is indicated by the sign of the coefficients, that is $\beta_9 = +0.085 > 0$; $\beta_{10} = +0.093 > 0$; $\beta_{11} = +0.057 > 0$; $\beta_{12} = +0.104 > 0$. This result is consistent with *a priori* expectations that all measures of environmental issues disclosure will have a positive effect on investor' decision measured by investor' perception of management credibility (PMC). However, the probability of t-statistics for EID, SID, GID, and SPD stood at 0.042, 0.013, 0.172, and 0.001 respectively. This implies that EID, SID, and SPD have significant positive effects on PMC with p-values less than 5% level of significance, while GID have insignificant positive effect on PMC with p-value greater than

5% level of significance. In addition, from Table 4.1, the size of the coefficients of the independent variables shows that a 1% increase in EID, SID, and SPD will cause a 0.085 unit, 0.093 unit, and 0.104 unit increase in PMC respectively.

Furthermore, the adjusted R-squared showed that about 4.3% variations in PMC can be attributed to EID, SID, and SPD, while the remaining 95.7% variations in PMC are caused by other factors not included in this model. Although, the coefficient of determination shows that model three has a weak explanatory power, the probability of the F-statistic of 0.00 shows that the regression result is statistically significant because this is less than 5%, the level of significance adopted for this study.

Therefore, the null hypothesis three that Disclosure on Environmental issues does not significantly affect investor' perception of management credibility in Nigerian financial market is not accepted. Hence, Disclosure on Environmental issues significantly affect investor' perception of management credibility in Nigerian financial market.

5. Discussion: Table 4.1 shows that on the average of 5.4917, the respondents agree that transparent disclosure of environmental issues be it positive or negative will increase investors' confidence about the firm and the credibility of the management when compared with organizations that do not disclose environmental information in the Nigerian financial market. Also given an average of 5.5333 and 5.5146 respectively, the respondents agree that the level of firms' compliance with international treaties and the level of compliance with local rules and regulations will positively influence investors' perception of management credibility in the Nigerian financial market. With the mean of 5.8625 the respondents agree that the firms' relationship with the host community will engender investors' confidence on management credibility. From the result of the multiple linear regression estimate of the model, it shows that environmental issues disclosure measured by EID, SID and GID together with investor savings purpose SPD have significant positive effect on management credibility in the Nigerian financial market.

This is indicated by the sign of coefficient, that $\beta_9 = +0.085 > 0$; $\beta_{10} = +0.093 > 0$; $\beta_{11} = +0.057 > 0$; $\beta_{12} = +0.104 > 0$. This result is consistent with *apriori* expectation that all the measure of environmental issues disclosure will have positive effect on investor's decision measured by investors' perception of management credibility, (PMC). Therefore, the null hypothesis that

environmental issues disclosure does not significantly affect investors' perception of management credibility in the Nigerian financial market is reject.

6. Conclusion and Recommendation: The study focused on the effect of environmental issues disclosure and investors' perception of management credibility in the Nigerian financial market. The study adopted the survey design approach. Six hundred structured questionnaires were administered on the staff of selected 11 banks out of the 21 money deposit banks in Nigeria as at 31 December 2017. Regression analysis was used to test the hypothesis formulated. Findings from the study reviewed that environmental issues disclosure measured by environmental issues, social issues and governance issues have a significant positive effect on investors' perception of management credibility in the Nigerian financial market. It shows clearly that the business entities that objectively and transparently disclosed environmental issues as it affect their firms stand to reap bountifully from investors patronages. The study therefore, recommended that in preparing financial statements, environmental issues, social issues and governance issues should be taken into consideration to engender investors' confidence in the Nigerian financial market.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A. B., & Bello, M. (2015). Regulatory failures and the collapse of the capital market in Nigeria: Responsibility with accountability. *Journal of law, policy and Globalisation*, 40, 171.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behaviour, organizational behaviour, Human decision making process . 50, 179-211.
- Ajzen, I. & Fishbein H. (2011). Predicting and changing Behaviour: the reasoned action Approach
- Alarussi A. S., Hanefah, M.M., & Selamat M.H. (2009). Internet financial and environmental disclosure by Malaysia Companies. *Social and Environmental Accounting*, 3(1), 3-25.
- Alarussi, A. S., Hanefay, M. M., & Salamat, M. H. (2013). Internet Financial and Environmental Disclosure. *International journal of critical accounting*, 5(2), 156-172.
- Alleyne, P., & Broome, T. (2011). *Using the theory of planned behaviour and risk propensity to measure investment intention among future investors'* (Vol. 36).
- Alleyne, P., & Broome, T. (2011). *Using the theory of planned behaviour and risk propensity to measure investment intention among future investors'* (Vol. 36).

- Al-Tuwaijri, S.A., Christensen, T.E., & Hughes 11, K. E. (2004). The Relations among Environmental Disclosure, Environmental Performance, and Brown Performance: A Simultaneous Equations Approach. *Accounting, organization and Society*, 29(5/6), 447-471.
- Ambrose, J. (2014). A survey of factors influencing investment decision: A case of individual investors' at the Nairobi Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 4(4).
- Australia Reserve Bank (2001-2018). *Global Financial Crisis*. Retrieved from <https://www.rba.gov.au/education/resources/explainers/the-global-financial-crisis.html>. 17/11/18
- Adetiloye, K. A., & Babajide, A.A. (2012). Investors' behavioural biases and the security market: Emirical study of the Nigerian security market. *Accounting and finance Research*, 1(1), 219-229.
- Barth, M. E., & McNichols M.F. (1994). "Estimation and market valuation of Environmental Liabilities Relating to Superfund Sites". *Journal of Accounting Research*, 32, 177-209.
- Berry, T. C., & Junkus, J. C. (2013). Socially responsible investing: An investors' perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*(112), 707-720.
- Birchard, B. (1996). "Make environmental Report Relevant". *CFO*, 79.
- Blacconiere, W. G., & Northcut, W. D. (1997). Environmental Disclosure Regulatory Cost and changes in firm value. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*, 12, 149-178.
- Blacconiere, W., Patten, D.M. (1994). Environmental Disclosure Regulatory costs and charges in firm value. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 8, 357-377.
- Burgwal, D. V., & Vieira, R. J. O. (2014). Environmental Disclosure determinant in Dutch Listed Companies. *Rev. Contab. Finance- USP*, 25(64), 60-78.
- Busch, T., Bauer, R., & Orlitzky, M. (2016). Sustainable development and financial markets: old paths and new avenues. *Journal for business and Social sciences*(55), 189-329.
- CalSTRS. (2013). *Teachers' Retirement Board Policy Manual*. California: CalSTRS.
- Camilleri, M. A. (2015). Environmental, Social and Governance in Europe. *Sustainability, Accounting, Management and Policy*, 6(2).
- Campbell, K., Sefcik, S. E., & Soderstrom. (1998). "Site Uncertainty, Allocation Uncertainty, and Superfund Liability Valuation". *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 17, 331-366.
- Cormier, D., & Magnan, M. (2003). Environmental Reporting Management: A European Perspective. *A Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 22(1), 43-62.

- Cormier, D., & Magnan, M. (1999). "Corporate Environmental Disclosure Strategies: Determinants, Costs and Benefits". *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*, 429-452.
- Cormier, D., Ledoux, M., & Magnan, M. (2011). The informational contribution of social and environmental disclosure for investors'. *Management Decision*, 49(8), 403-417.
- Corporate Environmental Disclosure, Financial Market and Media; An International Perspective. (2008). *Ecological Economics*, 64(3), 643-659.
- Crifo, P., Forgot, V.D., & Teyssier, S. (2015). The price of environmental, Social and governance practice Disclosure; An experiment with professional private equity investors'. *Journal of corporate finance*(30), 168-194.
- Dasgupta, S., Laplante, B., & Mamingi, N (2001). Pollution and Capital Market in Developing Countries *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 42(3), 310-335.
- (10), 649.
- Eccleston, Charles, H. (2010). *Global Environmental Policy: Concepts, Principles and Practices*.
- Emenike, K. (2017, March 7). *Weak-form Efficiency after Global Financial Crisis: Emerging Stock Market Evidence*. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972652716686268>.
- Ferreira, M. C. R., Sobreiro, V. A., Kimura, H., & Barboza, F. L.M. (2016). A systematic review of literature about finance and sustainability. *Journal of sustainable financial Investment*(6), 112-147.
- Ferrero-Ferrero, I. (2016). The effect of environmental, social and governance consistency on economic results. *Sustainability*, 8, 1005.
- Frederique, D., & Martinez, I. (2009). Impact of Voluntary corporate environmental disclosure on cost of Equity. *Accounting in Europe*, 6(1), 57-80.
- Gopi, M., & Ramayah, T. (2007). *Application of theory of planned behavior in predicting intention to trade online: Some evidence from developing countries* (Vol. 2). New York: J Emerg Mark.
- Graafland, J., & Smid, H. (2013). Competition, time horizon and corporate social performance. In center discussion paper series No 2013-2020: Economics:. *Tilburg, The Netherland*.
- Greenspan, B., & Clifford, R. (2002). Environmental Policy for Developing Countries. *Science and Technology*, 18(3), 5-18.
- Haladu, A., & Salim, B. (2016). Board characteristics and sustainability Reporting: Environmental Agencies' Moderating Effects. *International journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 6(4), 1525-1533.

- Halme, M., & Niskanen, J. (2001). Does Corporate Environmental Protection Increase or Decrease Shareholders Value? The Case of Environmental Investments. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 10(4), 200-214.
- Hamilton, J. (1995). Pollution as news media and stock market reactions to the toxic release inventory data. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 28, 98-113.
- Hansmann, R., Mieg, H.A., Frischknecht, P. (2012). Principal sustainability components: Empirical analysis of synergies between the three pillars of sustainability. *International journal of sustainable development*, 19, 451-459.
- History of EIA system and Measures taken around the world: current Environmental impact Assessment System : 1995 study Group for Environmental impact Assessment system.* (1995). Retrieved 8/11/18 from <https://www.env.go.jp/earth/coop/coop/.../10-eiae/10-eiae-2.pdf> retrieved
- History of Exxon Valdez Oil Spill.* (2018, 3 9). Retrieved 17/11/18 from <https://www.history.com/topic/1980/Exxon-Valdez-oil-spil>.
- Hui-Cheng, Y., Lopin, K., & Mao-Feng, K. (2016). The relationship between CSR disclosure and competitive advantage. *Journal of books: Case study*, 38-45.
- Ienciu, I. (2012). Environmental Disclosure in Europe. *Journal for Knowledge, Management, Economics and information Technology*, 4.
- International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). (2014). *Natural Based Solution(Nbs)*. Retrieved 18/9/18.
- Jamali, D. (2008). A stakeholder approach to corporate social responsibility: A fresh perspective into theory and practice. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 82(1), 213-231.
- Jun, H. (2013). Investing well by investing for good? Exploring the motivations of socially responsible investors'. *Asian international study Review*, 14, 29-56.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1992). *The prospect theory*. Retrieved 17/11/18 from <https://www.inestopedia.com/terms/p/prospecttheory.asp>.
- Khanna M; Quimo W. R. et al. (1998). Toxic release information: A policy tool for Environmental protection. *Environmental protection Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 36, 243-266.
- Kliger, D., & Levy, O. (2003). Mood-induced variation in risk preferences. *Journal of Economics Behavioural organisation*, 52, 573-584.
- Konar, S., & Cohen, M. (1997). Information as Regulation: The effect of community right to know laws on toxic emissions. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 32, 109-124.
- KPMG. (2002). *International Survey of Corporate Responsibility Reporting*. Amsterdam: KPMG.

- Li, Y. (2016). How to determine the Validity and Reliability of an instrument. *Retrived from Miami University Discovery Center for evaluation, research and professional learning: <https://blogs.miamioh.edu/discovery-center/2016/11/how-to-determine-the-validity-and-reliability-of-an-instrument/>.*
- Liu, Liu, McConkey. (2011). Empirical Analysis of Environmental Disclosure and Environmental Performance level of listed Companies. *Energy Procedia*(5), 2211-2218.
- Lu, J. (2015). Does Weather have impact on returns and trading activities in order-driven stock market? Evidence from China. *Journal of empirical finance*, 19, 79-93.
- Lubis, T. A. (2017). Behavioral fiance perspectives on investor financial decision. *international journal of Economics, commerce and management*, 5(7), 671-680.
- Luu, T. B. (2014). Behavoiral pattern of individual investors' in the stock market. *International journal of Business and Managent*, 9(1), 1-16.
- Majda, M., & Hakim B. O. (2015). Environmental Disclosure and Stock Liquidity: Evidence from Arab MENA emerging market. *Applied Economics*, 48(20).
- Martinz-Ferrero, j., & Frias-Aceituno, J.V. (2015). Relationship between sustainable development and financial performance: An international empirical Research. *Business strategy and Environment*, 24(1), 1-15.
- Milgrom, P., & Robert, J. (1992). *Economics, Organisation and Management*.
- Miralles-quiros, M. M., Miralles-quiros, J. L., & Valente Goncalves, L. M. (2018). The value relevance of environmental, Social and governance performance: The brazilian case. *Sustainability*(10), 574.
- Monteriro S. M. S., & Aibtar- Guzman, B. (2010). Determinants of environmental disclosure in the annual reports of large companies operating in Portugal. *Corporate Social Responsibility and environmental management*, 17(4), 15-204.
- Nevado-Pena, D., Lopez- Ruiz, V.-R., Alfaro-Nevarro, J.-L. (2015). The effects of environmental and social dimensions of sustainability in response to economic crisis of European cities. *Sustainability*, 7, 8255-8269.
- Nunnally, J.C., & Bernstein, I.H. (1994). *Psychological Theory*.
- Oghojafor B., George, O., & Owoyemi, O. (2012). Corporate Governance and National Culture are Siamese Twins: The case of Cadbury Nigeria Plc. *International Journal of Business and Social Sciences*, 3, 269-275.
- Ortas, E., Alvarez, I., & Garayar, A. (2015). The environmental, Social, Governance, and financial performance effects on companies that adopt the united nations global compact. *Sustainability*, 7, 1932-1956.
- Patten, D. (2002). The relation between Environmental Performance and Environmental Disclosure: 'A research Note'. *Journal of Accounting Organization and Society*, 27, 763-773.

- Peter, A. O., & Mbu-Ogar, G. B. (2018). Analysis of environmental and social disclosure and financial performance of selected quoted oil and gas companies in Nigeria 2012-2016. *Journal for Accounting and Management*, 4, 2-12.
- Przychodzen, J., Gomez-Bezares, F., Przychodzen, W., & Larreina, M. (2016). ESG Issues among fundmanagers-Factors and motives,. *Sustainability*, 8, 1078.
- PwC. (2014). *Sustainability Goes Mainstream: Insight Into Investo views*. USA: PwC.
- Qui, Y., Shaukat, A., & Tharyan, R . (2016). Environmental and Social Disclosure; Link with Corporate Financial Performance. *The British Accounting Review*, 48(1), 102-116.
- Qureshi, S.A, Rehman, K, & Hunjra, A. I. (2012). Factors affecting investment decision of equity fund managers. *Wulfenia journal*, 19(10), 280-291.
- Rakotomavo, M. T. (2011). Preference of retail investors' and institutions for corporate Social performance. *Journal for sustainable financial investment*(1), 93-102.
- Rebort, Andrew and Gustavo. (2002, March 25). Finance and the Environment: Transparency, Disclosure and Environmental Reporting. *International Journal of Financial Resources; Research Review*, 1-33.
- Robert, R. (1992). Determinants of Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: Anapplication of stakeholder theory. *Journal of Accounting , Organization and Society*, 17(6), 595-612.
- Roberts, J. (1988). Environmental Disclosure: A Note on reporting practice in Mainland Europe. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 4(3).
- Robertson, C., Krauss, C., Einhorn, C., & Schwartz, J. (2010). *The Gulf Oil Spill of 2010*. U.S: The New York Times.
- Robort, J., & Rogers. (2014). The SEC and Capital Market in the 21st Century: Evolving Accounting Infrastructure for Today's World. *Governance Studies at Brookings*, 5.
- Salaria, N. (2012). Meaning of the term -Descriptive survey research method. *International Journal of transformations in business management*, 1(6), 1-7.
- Sayema, S., Norhayah, Z., & Dalilawati, Z. (2018). Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) and Investment Decision in Bangladesh. *Sustainability* .
- Sonde, T., & Pitt, H. L. (1971). "Utilising the Federal securities Laws to clear the Air! Clean the Sky! Wash the Wind!". *Howard Law Journal*, 16, 851.
- Sorensen, O. B., & Pfeifer, S.(2011). Climate change issues in fund investment practice. *Int. Soc. Secur*, 64, 57-71.
- Sreekumar, A. & Ladha, R. (2014). Determinants of non-economic investment goals among Indian investors'. *Corporate Governance*(14), 714-727.

- Tansy, H. (2015). "Reliving thr Rana Plaza factory collapse: A history of cities in 50 building, day 22". Bangladesh: The London Times.
- Teather, D. (2005). *Nike lists abuses in Asia factory*. U.S: The New York Times.
- Teitenberg, T., & Wheeler, D. (2001). "Empowering the community: Information strategy for pollution control" pasper presented at frontier of Environmental Economics conference, Airlie House Va.
- The United Nation Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA). (2016). Climate change: Humanitarian impact. Retrived 20/9/18.
- TRCRI. (2013). Thomson Reuters Corporate Responsibility Rating, 2013. <http://archive.annual-report.tomsonreuters.com/cr2013/Downloads/thomson-reuters-2013-corporateresponsibility-report.pdf>.
- Tripathy, C. K. (2014). Role of Psychological biases in the cognitive decision making proces of individual investors'. *Orissa Journal of commerce*, xxxiv(1), 69-80.
- Ullman, A. A. (1985). Data in Search of a Theory: A critical Examination of the Relationship Among Social Performance, Social Disclosure, and Economic Performance of U.S Firms. *ademy of Management Review Ac*, 10(3), 540-557.
- UN Global Compact Strategy 2014. <https://www.unglobalcompact.org/library/2581>.
- United Naciones, Department of Economics and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2017). Key Findings and Advance Table: Revision. *Living Blue Planet Reports*.
- UNPRI, (2015, July 15). *United Nations Priciples of Responsible Investments*. Retrieved from <https://www.unpri.org>.
- UNPRI. (n.d.). *United Nation Principle of Responsible Investment*. Retrieved August 19, 2018, from <http://www.unpri.org>.
- Uwuigbe, U., & Ajibolade, S.O. (2013). Effect of Corporate Governance on Corporate, Social and Environmental Disclosure among listed firms in Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Social Sciences*, 2(5), 76-92.
- VonWallis, M., & Klein, C. (2015). Ethical requirement and financial interest: A Literature review on responsible investing. *Business Journal Resources*(8), 61-98.
- Waas, T., Huye, J., Block, T., Wright, T., Benitez-Capistros, F., Verbruggen, A. (2014). Sustainability assessment and indicators: Tools in a decision-making strategy for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 6, 5512-5534.
- Walley, N., & Whitehead, B. (1994). Its Not Easy Being Green. *Harvard Business Review*, 72, 46-52.
- Warsame, M. H., & Ireri, E.M. (2016). *Does the theory of planned behaviour matter in sukuk investment decision?* (12, Ed.) USA.

- Wei, J. & Wang, I. (2016). Environmental Disclosure, Investor' investment decisions and their Perceptions of the Credibility of Management. *Global Journal of Business Research*, 17-25.
- Welbeck, E. E., Owusu, G. M. Y., Bekoe, R. A., & Kusi, J. A. (2017). Environmental Disclosure determinants in Ghana. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 2(11).
- Williams, C. (1999). " The Security and Echange Commission and Corporate Social Transarency" . *Howard Law Review* , 1197-1311.
- Xiaoyan, W. (2007). Corporate voluntary Disclosure and investment in capital market.
- Xu, X. D., Zeng, S X., Zou, H.I., & Jonathan, J. S. (2014). The Impact of Corporate Environmental Violation on Shareholders' Wealth: A Perspective Taken from Media Coverage. *Accounting, organization and Society*.
- Yamane, T. (1967). *Statistics: An introductory Analysis*, 2nd Edition, New York, Harper and Row
- Yook, K., Song, H., Patten, D., & Kim, W. (2017). The disclosure of environmental conservation costs and its relation to eco-efficiency: Evidence from Japan. *Journal of Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy*, 8(1), 20-42.
- Zachary, C. (2018). *The botched Coca-Cola heist of 2006*. U.S: The Hustle.
- Zwaan, L., Brimble, M., & Stewart, J. (2015). Members perspeptions of ESG investing through supernuation. *Sustainable accounting and management plolicy* (6), 79-102.

IEEESEM

IEEESEM